

From: Sonya Giliissen <sgillissen90@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, 06 August 2021 08:30
To: francis@clubadvocates.co.za; sophie@clubadvocates.co.za; info@gaa.org.za; info@churchsquarebar.co.za; info@nationalbarcouncil.co.za; Issa@Issa.org.za; jhbadmin@law.co.za; jhbbar@law.co.za; ppv; PTA Bar; Pretoria-FA@justice.gov.za; reception@loftusadv.co.za; StateAttorneyPretoria@justice.gov.za; admin@pabasa.co.za; admin@biaonline.org.za; achauke@npa.gov.za; MoDikgale@justice.gov.za; fazoe@nadel.co.za; gcb@mweb.co.za; nfa.advocates@gmail.com; gautengsocadv@gmail.com; tracy.nothenagel@gcbsa.co.za; ramatics@gmail.com; Nicoile Oosthuizen; MAGDALENE@ipc.org.za; ndweleni@rstauattorneys.co.za; mbmaliwa@gmail.com; Cassie Jordaan; Nadine@fsh.co.za; lidias@savage.co.za; motions@slerouxprok.co.za; rosaha@mweb.co.za; Flavial@legal-aid.co.za; NokuphiwaN@legal-aid.co.za; admin@csadvocates.co.za; u@lekgotlaw.co.za; Henk Schouten; stephan@puys.co.za; karlien@puys.co.za; Ronelle Ferguson; advocatemarema@gmail.com; memorys@nadel.co.za; Tamaryn Melville; adminhc@hcmadike.co.za; update@jaa.org.za; rc@merweproks.co.za; info@freemandube.co.za; siphwe@freemandube.co.za; viv@jaa.org.za; Phillip Magagane; Thobile; lebeko.law@gmail.com; jt@tarica.co.za; francinahq@gmail.com; lauren@wkattorneys.co.za; marius@minnieattorneys.co.za; kuhle@ndlovu-sedumedi.co.za; keegan@ksalaw.co.za; mxolisi@neneiaw.co.za; ftugwana@gmail.com; bennie@vdbatt.com; mpesim@gmail.com; Eugene Janse van Rensburg; Deon Gericke; Yvonne Badenhorst; lazarusledwaba9@gmail.com; suium@sigogolaw.co.za; kobussteyn1@gmail.com; annade@lawcircle.co.za; sthikhathali@yahoo.com; nelufulekc@gmail.com; Dune Delpont; innocentia@mgmaliattorneys.com; Maki Malepe; desmond@grobbelaarlaw.co.za; tsoku@tsokufmashishiattorneys.co.za; rjntimane@webmail.co.za; thabop2002@gmail.com; nlmahlaba@webmail.co.za; admin@chavalaattorneys.co.za; takalani@tsmattorneys.co.za; kgakainc@vodamail.co.za; shoketsoe@icloud.com; georgemashabane@gmail.com; advocatemabena@gmail.com; info@motimele.co.za; Anna-Marie A. Nieuwoudt; Zanele Manyathi; CSilaule@judiciary.org.za; Opuent Molopa; opulentmolopa@gmail.com; TLedwaba@judiciary.org.za; TMatshivha@judiciary.org.za; MMorema@judiciary.org.za; Nadia Olyn; ngwenya.rhulani66@gmail.com; Thandi Malele; rita@greeffvanwyk.co.za; velaphisolomon5@gmail.com; Linda Pretorius; Anton van der Westhuizen; liakotze@clubadvocates.co.za; Gina Kyriazis; rferreira@lawcircle.co.za; ryder@ntshebeinc.co.za; Awelani Thangavhuelelo; mubvamba@gmail.com; Mlambo JP; Hantie H. Havenga; motionspretoria@gmail.com
Subject: Unopposed Motions before Judge DS Fourie 10 August 2021 - GAUTENT LOCAL DIVISION - JHB
Attachments: Notice with regard to Unopposed Motions before Judge DS Fourie 10 August 2021.doc; 10 AUGUST 2021 UNOPPOSED MOTION ROLL - FOURIE J - JHB.docx

Good day,

Herewith the Unopposed motion roll and NOTICE WITH REGARD TO UNOPPOSED MOTIONS on 10 August 2021 before Judge DS Fourie.

Regards
Sonya Giliissen
Secretary to Judge DS Fourie



REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION
JOHANNESBURG

Secretary to Judge DS Fourie
Sonya Gillissen
Cell: 079 400 1963
Email: sgillissen90@gmail.com (for correspondence only)
sgillissen@judiciary.org.za (for caselines invites)


**NOTICE REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGE PRESIDENT'S
DIRECTIVES FOR THE UNOPPOSED MOTION COURT BEFORE JUDGE DS
FOURIE ON 10 AUGUST 2021**

1. The application will be adjudicated "on paper only" (i.e. without an oral hearing) unless there is a written request for an oral hearing which must then be clearly set out in the Practice Note referred to herein below. In such a case, the oral submissions must be briefly summarised in the Practice Note to enable the Court to prepare properly. Proceedings will then be conducted on MS Teams on a time to be notified.
2. A complete Practice Note, stating the nature of the application for the date on which the application is on the roll, should be filed on Caselines setting out at least the following:
 - 2.1 Was the matter previously postponed? If so, what was the reason for the postponement;
 - 2.2 If the papers consist of more than just the application concerned, (for instance, previous applications or pleadings not relevant to the application) the application must be properly identified by reference to the section and page number to avoid a search for it;

- 2.3 The return of service relevant to the date of hearing must be identified by reference to the page number;
 - 2.4 If service by the Sheriff was not necessary, the reason for that must be stated. The other form of service must then be identified by reference to the page number;
 - 2.5 If personal service is necessary, (for instance in sequestration applications) it must be stated and if this requirement was not complied with, reasons must be provided;
 - 2.6 Where certain practice or legal requirements have to be complied with (for instance in **Rule 46A applications**) compliance with all those requirements must be clearly stated and then also identified in the papers by reference to the page number(s) and par;
 - 2.7 If any Regulation (relating to Covid-19 or not) or Statute is applicable it **must be stated and compliance therewith be identified** in the papers by reference to the page number and par;
 - 2.8 If there is any problem with the application, it must be clearly **identified and disclosed**. If there is no problem **it must be stated that the papers are in order**;
 - 2.9 Name and contact details of counsel (tel nr and email address) must be stated.
3. If there is any other information (for example a request for an oral hearing) it must also be stated in the practice note.
 4. Failure to file a practice note in compliance with this Notice, dealing with each of the par referred to above separately and completely (i.e. par by par individually), to assist the court in considering the application properly, may result in the application be struck off the roll. If a particular par is not applicable, then it must be so indicated and not merely be ignored or omitted. The filing of heads of argument will not replace the duty to file a practice note in terms of this Directive. This requirement will be strictly applied.
 5. In all applications where heads of argument must be filed, a failure to do so will also result in the application being struck of the roll.

6. A proper and complete **Draft Order (using MS Word enabling variation thereof)** must be **filed on Caselines** for the court to consider.
7. **The Practice Note, Draft order and/or heads of argument (if applicable) must be filed by no later than 9:00 on Monday 9 August 2021, failing which the application will be struck off the roll. Filing must be done on CASELINES ONLY (and NOT by email to Me S Gillissen).**
8. **It is the responsibility of the applicant's attorney to ensure that the matter is TIMEOUSLY, PROPERLY AND FULLY uploaded onto Caselines and that the Judge and his clerk will have access to the matter at the latest at 9:00 on the day before the date of hearing to enable the Judge to read the papers. Late filing or updates will not be accepted.**
9. **In divorce matters** the plaintiff must file an affidavit on the merits and the attorney an affidavit on the authenticity of the marriage certificate.
10. Counsel must be available on the date of hearing to attend an oral hearing **if requested by the court.**
11. **A failure to comply fully with this notice may result in the matter be struck off the roll.**

DS FOURIE
JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT
JOHANNESBURG



OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE
(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

UNOPOSED ROLL FOR 10th AUGUST 2021.

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: DS FOURIE

JUGDES' SECRETARY: SONYA GILLISSEN Cell: 079 4001953
sgillis90@gmail.com (for correspondence)
sgillis90@judiciary.org.za (for caselines invites only).

1.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs THABISHI WILLIAM CHOSHANE	2020/17784	lila@jmsa-inc.com	O
2.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED vs TSHABALALA, Ishmael & THSHABALALA, Busiswe (FORMALLY KNOWN AS DLAMINI)	2020/2338	estralita@timduoit.co.za	D
3.	SANLAM LIFE INSURANCE LIMITED vs SM EVENT DESIGN (PTY) LTD T/A CONFIDO EVENT DESIGN	2021/17751	casay@mlv.co.za	D
4.	FFS FINANCE SOUTH AFRICA (PTY) LTD vs ELSOLOGIX (PTY) LTD	2021/6665	judean@smitsew.co.za	S
5.	FFS FINANCE SOUTH AFRICA PTY LTD vs BLOWHIP PTY LTD	2021/6300	michanig@smitsew.co.za	S
6.	KHOURI PATRICK vs KHOURI LISA JANE	2021/23328	jiswanepoel0@telkomsa.net	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as "Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

7.	BAYTOWER PROPERTIES 153 CC vs SIXBAR TRADING 922 CC & JOHANNES LESABE SEKOBÉ	2021/13350	ahmedo@peersatt.co.za	O
8.	BODY CORPORATE MALACHITE MEWS, NO. 146/2007 vs GIVEN SANELE MBELU AND ANOTHER	2021/20712	thomas@alattorneys.co.za	O
9.	BODY CORPORATE MALACHITE MEWS, NO. 146/2007 vs SIBONGILE MASHININI	2021/20713	jillian@alattorneys.co.za	O
10.	BODY CORPORATE THE CRESCENT NO. 240/1996 vs SHAUN SAMUEL SEABO	2020/18392	sharon@alattorneys.co.za	O
11.	BRIDGE TAXI FINANCE NO 06 (PTY) LTD vs NDIMANDE; MHOLOPHENI, LUCKY	2021/20359	adrian@ocibb.co.za	D
12.	BALWIN RENTALS (PTY) LTD vs T ROSSLEE & ONE OTHER	2021/19847	krause@bnthe-law.co.za	T
13.	CHARNE - MARI BOTHA T/A CB AUTO SPARES vs JASON MARSHALL AND ONE OTHER	2021/6281	leandra@duvattorneys.co.za	O
14.	ROBINSON, MATTHEW CHRISTOPHER v ROBINSON, MAUREEN FLORENCE	2020/42707	litigation@dpalegal.co.za	
15.	SASOL SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED VS JB PRESSURE & SAFETY SOLUTIONS (PTY) LTD & DIMIDRIS EPAMINONAS GEORGIOU & BRENDA MARY HESKES	2020/27808	liswanepoel0@telkomsa.net	D
16.	PREMIER PLASTICS (PTY) LIMITED vs THE COMMISSIONER FOR THE SOUTH AFRICAN REVENUE SERVICES	2021/9726	akiuger@fluxmans.com	V
17.	CITY OF EKURHULENI METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY vs THE OAK OF GLORY MINISTRIES & OTHERS	2021/23422	bridgette@kminc.co.za	O
18.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs TRACEY-LEE GRADIDGE AND OTHER	2020/24317	raquelc@law.co.za	O
19.	JOHANNES CHRISTIAAN DE BEER T/A JC DE BEER BOERDERY vs RIAAN ANDERSON AND ONE OTHER	2021/6279	leandra@duvattorneys.co.za	O
20.	KEITUMETSE GRACE MOTHUPI vs MOSES TSHEPISO MALULEKA	2020/23818	No mail	O
21.	LAING, HENRY vs LAING, SHARON GARCIA	2020/4209	hb@hb-attorneys.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as "Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

22.	LION ONE MINING SERVICE (PTY) LTD AND 3 OTHERS vs MARYNA RUTHEA DE KOKER AND 2 OTHERS	2021/18210	b.meyer@hcdnattorneys.com	I
23.	MADONDO MLANDELI MUZIWENKOSI vs MINISTER OF POLICE AND ONE	2012/18474	msingathi@ndlebeattorneys.co.za	O
24.	MALULEKE MSIMANG & ASSOCIATES vs SEKONYA ATTORNEYS AND ANOTHER	2020/43426	millicent@sekonyattorneys.co.za	PS
25.	MASANGWANA:NONTLANGANISO NELLY obo SINESIPHO vs ROAD ACCIDENT FUND	2019/36897	um@mfv.co.za	O
26.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED vs MATHAFANE STANLEY KGATLA	2020/40424	tmbata@straussdaily.co.za	D
27.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs MALATJIE PETER MAPITI	2021/18456	linda@jay.co.za	O
28.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs MANITSHANA BUHLE	2021/18459	linda@jay.co.za	O
29.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs MODUPE KAGISO TERENCE	2021/18457	linda@jay.co.za	O
30.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs MTHEMBU SIFISO INNOCENT	2021/18455	linda@jay.co.za	O
31.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs MUTALI KHUMBULENI JUSTICE	2021/18458	linda@jay.co.za	O
32.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs SAVAN CHANDRAKANT GOSWAMI AND ANOTHER	2020/34178	ngovender@oclaw.co.za	D
33.	DANIELA MAURER vs PETER LANZ	2016/43542	aamra@nicolerosslaw.com	O
34.	OYENIYI: ADEBOMI GANIYU vs OYENIYI DONIQUE LEREAL	2020/33217	chrisfourieattorney@jclawd.com	O
35.	MAKAWALA JASON MWAZHA vs MAKAWALA PHELISA JACQUELINE	2020/23630	veli@hadebeattorneys.co.za	O
36.	MMADIHLABA GOODMAN KGAKANA	2020/29411	mampa.atio@gmail.com	O
37.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs DAINE MARCELLE KATE +1	2020/2282	meri-louise@tintolaw.co.za	D

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as "Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as "Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.